

NONLINEAR RIEMANN-HILBERT PROBLEM FOR BORDERED RIEMANN SURFACES

MIRAN ČERNE

ABSTRACT. Let Σ be a bordered Riemann surface with genus g and m boundary components. Let $\{\gamma_z\}_{z \in \partial\Sigma}$ be a smooth family of smooth Jordan curves in $\mathbb{C}$ which all contain the point 0 in their interior. Then there exists a holomorphic function $f(z)$ on Σ smooth up to the boundary with at most $2g + m - 1$ zeros on Σ such that $f(z) \in \gamma_z$ for every $z \in \partial\Sigma$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Σ be (the interior of) a bordered Riemann surface with genus g and m real analytic boundary components. In this paper we consider the existence of holomorphic solutions of a nonlinear Riemann-Hilbert problem for Σ . Let k be a nonnegative integer and let $0 < \alpha < 1$. We denote by $C^{k,\alpha}(\partial\Sigma)$ the Hölder space of all real k times differentiable functions on the boundary $\partial\Sigma$ whose derivatives of order k are Hölder continuous of order α and we denote by $A^{k,\alpha}(\Sigma)$ the space of all holomorphic functions on Σ which are of class $C^{k,\alpha}$ on $\bar{\Sigma}$.

We will say that a family of simple closed curves $\{\gamma_z\}_{z \in \Sigma}$ in $\mathbb{C}$ is a C^k ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) family of Jordan curves if there exists a function $\rho \in C^k(\partial\Sigma \times \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\gamma_z = \{w \in \mathbb{C}; \rho(z, w) = 0\}$$

and $(\bar{\partial}_w \rho)(z, w) \neq 0$ for every $z \in \partial\Sigma$ and $w \in \gamma_z$. We call ρ a defining function for the family $\{\gamma_z\}_{z \in \partial\Sigma}$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let Σ be a bordered Riemann surface with genus g and m real analytic boundary components. Let $\{\gamma_z\}_{z \in \partial\Sigma}$ be a C^{k+1} ($k \geq 3$) family of Jordan curves in $\mathbb{C}$ which all contain the point 0 in their interior. Then there exists a holomorphic function $f \in A^{k,\alpha}(\Sigma)$ with at most $2g + m - 1$ zeros on Σ such that $f(z) \in \gamma_z$ for every $z \in \partial\Sigma$.*

The *interior* of a simple closed curve $\gamma \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is the bounded component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \gamma$.

Corollary 1.2. *Let $\mathcal{D} \geq 0$ be a divisor of finite degree on Σ . Then there exists a solution $f \in A^{k,\alpha}(\Sigma)$ of the Riemann-Hilbert problem for $\{\gamma_z\}_{z \in \partial\Sigma}$ such that $(f) \geq \mathcal{D}$ and that the degree of the divisor (f) is at most $\deg(\mathcal{D}) + 2g + m - 1$.*

In the disc case ($\Sigma = \Delta$) results of this type were first proved by Šnirelman, [31], and later by Forstnerič, [16], in a different context. For planar domains the result, without the bound on the number of the zeros, was proved by Begehr and

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30E25, 35Q15; Secondary 32E20.

Key words and phrases. Riemann-Hilbert problem, bordered Riemann surface, polynomial hull.

The author was supported in part by a grant from the Ministry of Science of the Republic of Slovenia.

Efendiev in [3]. Linear Riemann-Hilbert problem for bordered Riemann surfaces was considered by Koppelman in [22]. For other results on the existence of solutions for planar domains one should see [12, 13, 14, 25, 34] and the references therein.

Let

$$T = \bigcup_{z \in \partial\Sigma} (\{z\} \times \gamma_z).$$

Then T is the union of m totally real tori in $\partial\Sigma \times \mathbb{C}$ and the existence of a solution of the Riemann-Hilbert problem for $\{\gamma_z\}_{z \in \partial\Sigma}$ is equivalent to the existence of a holomorphic function $f \in A^{k,\alpha}(\Sigma)$ whose graph is attached to T , that is, $(z, f(z)) \in T$ for every $z \in \partial\Sigma$. Results on the existence of large analytic discs attached to certain manifolds can be found in [16, 20, 29, 36, 37, 38]. Results on small holomorphic perturbations of a given analytic object along maximal real manifolds are given in [5, 6, 9, 10, 15, 18, 19].

There is also a strong connection of the solutions of the Riemann-Hilbert problem to the description of the polynomial hull of a compact set K fibered over $\partial\Delta$, [1, 7, 8, 16, 23, 24, 27, 28, 29, 35, 36, 37, 38]. Recall that the polynomial hull $\hat{K}$ of a compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ is defined as

$$\hat{K} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n; |p(z)| \leq \max_K |p| \text{ for every polynomial } p \text{ in } n \text{ variables}\}$$

and that by the maximum principle every analytic variety whose boundary lies in K belongs to the polynomial hull $\hat{K}$ of K .

Corollary 1.3. *Let $a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}$ be holomorphic functions on the disc Δ which are smooth up to the boundary. Let*

$$V = \{(z, w) \in \overline{\Delta} \times \mathbb{C}; w^n + a_{n-1}(z)w^{n-1} + \dots + a_0(z) = 0\}$$

be an n -sheeted analytic variety over $\overline{\Delta}$ such that for each $z \in \partial\Delta$ there are exactly n different points in $\{z\} \times \mathbb{C}$ which belong to ∂V . Let $\{\gamma_p\}_{p \in \partial V}$ be a C^{k+1} ($k \geq 3$) family of Jordan curves in $\mathbb{C}$ such that each γ_p contains the point $w(p)$, $p = (z(p), w(p))$, in its interior. Then there exists an n -sheeted analytic variety V_0 over Δ whose boundary is contained in

$$\bigcup_{p=(z(p), w(p)) \in \partial V} (\{z(p)\} \times \gamma_p).$$

The main theorem has an application to embedding finitely connected planar domains into convex domains in $\mathbb{C}^n$ ($n \geq 2$). See [9, 10] for embeddings into $\mathbb{C}^2$.

Corollary 1.4. *Let Ω be a smoothly bounded convex domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) and let D be a bounded finitely connected planar domain with smooth boundary. Then there exists a holomorphic embedding of D into Ω .*

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 the proofs of the main theorem and corollaries are given. In Section 3 a special case where $\{\gamma_z\}_{z \in \partial\Sigma}$ are circles centered at 0 and Σ is a planar domain is considered more closely. Finally in the Appendix some technical results needed in our arguments are proved.

2. THE RIEMANN-HILBERT PROBLEM

Our first proposition claims that if there is a solution of the Riemann-Hilbert problem, then there is also one which has at most $2g + m - 1$ zeros on Σ . The idea of the proof is the fact that the family of solutions of the Riemann-Hilbert problem with more than $2g + m - 1$ zeros is not compact in the space $A^{k,\alpha}(\Sigma)$.

Proposition 2.1. *If there exists a solution $f \in A^{k,\alpha}(\Sigma)$ of the Riemann-Hilbert problem for $\{\gamma_z\}_{z \in \partial\Sigma}$, then there exists a solution with at most $2g + m - 1$ zeros.*

Proof. Every solution $f \in A^{k,\alpha}(\Sigma)$ of the Riemann-Hilbert problem for $\{\gamma_z\}_{z \in \partial\Sigma}$ has finitely many zeros on Σ . Let N be the minimal number of zeros counting algebraically of a solution of the Riemann-Hilbert problem and let $f_0 \in A^{k,\alpha}(\Sigma)$ be a solution with the minimal number of zeros. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the family of all solutions $f \in A^{k,\alpha}(\Sigma)$ of the Riemann-Hilbert problem such that $f : \partial\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ is homotopic to $f_0 : \partial\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, that is, f and f_0 have the same winding number over each boundary component of Σ (we orient the boundary $\partial\Sigma$ coherently with the natural orientation on Σ). The number of zeros of a function $f \in A^{k,\alpha}(\Sigma)$ which is nonzero on $\partial\Sigma$ equals the winding number of f along $\partial\Sigma$. Hence each $f \in \mathcal{F}$ has the same number of zeros counting algebraically.

Let $T = \cup_{z \in \partial\Sigma} (\{z\} \times \gamma_z)$. Manifold T is a finite union of maximal real tori in $\partial\Sigma \times \mathbb{C}$. By Lemma 4.2 in the Appendix there exists a C^{k+1} strongly plurisubharmonic function v on $\overline{\Sigma} \times \mathbb{C}$ such that T is Lagrangian for the symplectic form $\omega = i\partial\bar{\partial}v$ and that the ω -area of any disc $\{z\} \times \widehat{\gamma}_z$ ($z \in \partial\Sigma$) is 1. Then, by the Stokes' theorem, all the graphs of the functions in $\mathcal{F}$ have ω -area equal to $N + a$, where a is a constant which depends only on T and ω .

Using Gromov's compactness theorem (see [20], [26] or [33]), the family $\mathcal{F}$ is compact. Namely, if $\{f_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ is a sequence, then there exists a subsequence $\{f_{n_j}\}$, a finite set $\Gamma \subset \partial\Sigma$ and a holomorphic function $f_\infty \in A^{k,\alpha}(\Sigma)$ such that f_∞ is a solution of the Riemann-Hilbert problem and the sequence $\{f_{n_j}\}$ converges to f_∞ in the $C^{k,\alpha}$ sense on the compact subsets of $\overline{\Sigma} \setminus \Gamma$. Also, at the points $p \in \Gamma$ holomorphic bubbles appear

$$\varphi_p : (\Delta, \partial\Delta) \rightarrow (\text{Int}(\widehat{\gamma}_p), \gamma_p) \quad (p \in \Gamma)$$

and the sum of the ω -area of the graph of f_∞ and the ω -areas of the bubbles φ_z , $z \in \Gamma$, equals the ω -areas of the graphs of f_{n_j} 's. Hence

$$\text{the number of zeros of } f_\infty + \text{card } \Gamma = \text{the number of zeros of } f_{n_j} = N.$$

Since N is the minimal number of zeros of a solution of the Riemann-Hilbert problem on Σ , we conclude that Γ is empty and therefore $\mathcal{F}$ is a compact subset of $A^{k,\alpha}(\Sigma)$.

Let d be a distance-metric on $\overline{\Sigma}$ induced from a Riemannian metric on $\overline{\Sigma}$. For each $f \in \mathcal{F}$ let $Z_f \subseteq \Sigma$ denote the set of its zeros. We define

$$\sigma(f) = d(Z_f, \partial\Sigma) \quad (\text{the distance of } Z_f \text{ from } \partial\Sigma) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma = \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sigma(f).$$

Then there exists a sequence $\{f_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(f_n) = \sigma$. Let $\{f_{n_j}\}$ be a subsequence which converges in the $C^{k,\alpha}$ sense on $\overline{\Sigma}$ to a function $f_\infty \in \mathcal{F}$ (compactness!). Since $\sigma(f_\infty) > 0$ and since every function from $\mathcal{F}$ has the same number of zeros counting algebraically we get $\sigma(f_\infty) = \sigma > 0$.

Let ρ be a C^{k+1} defining function for $\{\gamma_z\}_{z \in \partial\Sigma}$. The map

$$\Psi : A^{1,\alpha}(\Sigma) \longrightarrow C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Sigma)$$

$$(\Psi(f))(z) = \rho(z, f(z))$$

is (twice) differentiable, [21], and its derivative at a function $f \in A^{1,\alpha}(\Sigma)$ is

$$(D\Psi(f)h)(z) = 2\text{Re}((\partial_w \rho)(z, f(z))h(z)).$$

Let f be a solution of the Riemann-Hilbert problem and let κ be the winding number of the nonzero function $(\bar{\partial}_w \rho)(z, f(z))$ on $\partial\Sigma$. Geometric assumptions on the family $\{\gamma_z\}_{z \in \partial\Sigma}$ imply that κ equals the winding number of f on $\partial\Sigma$ and hence the number of its zeros. The linear operator $D\Psi(f)$ is a Fredholm operator of index $2\kappa - (2g + m - 2)$ and it has no cokernel if $\kappa \geq 2g + m - 1$, [22]. Therefore the implicit function theorem in Banach spaces shows (see also [9, 10]) that in the case $N \geq 2g + m - 1$ the family $\mathcal{F}$ is $M = 2N - (2g + m - 2)$ dimensional submanifold of $A^{1,\alpha}(\Sigma)$. Using a theorem of Čirka, [4], we may even conclude that $\mathcal{F}$ is an M dimensional submanifold of $A^{k,\alpha}(\Sigma)$.

Let us now assume that $N \geq 2g + m$. Let $f_0 \in \mathcal{F}$ and $z_0 \in \Sigma$ be such that $f_0(z_0) = 0$ and $\sigma = d(z_0, \partial\Sigma)$. Let $f(\cdot, p)$ be a local C^1 parametrization of $\mathcal{F}$ with the space $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{R}^M$ such that $f(\cdot, 0) = f_0$. The derivative $(D_p f)(\cdot, 0)$ is an isomorphism from $\mathcal{P}$ into the tangent space of $\mathcal{F}$ at the point f_0 , that is, for every $p \in \mathcal{P}$ we have

$$\operatorname{Re}(\overline{a(z)} (D_p f)(z, 0)p) = 0 \quad (z \in \partial\Sigma),$$

where $a(z) = (\bar{\partial}_w \rho)(z, f_0(z))$.

We define the mapping $\Phi : \Sigma \times \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \Sigma \times \mathbb{C}$ by $\Phi(z, p) = (z, f(z, p))$. We will show that Φ is a submersion in a neighbourhood of $(z_0, 0) \in \Sigma \times \mathcal{P}$. Hence for each point z close enough to z_0 the equation $\Phi(z, p) = (z, 0)$ has a solution $p(z)$ and this contradicts the fact that z_0 is a zero of a function from $\mathcal{F}$ which is the nearest to the boundary $\partial\Sigma$. Therefore $N \leq 2g + m - 1$.

To prove that Φ is a submersion in a neighbourhood of $(z_0, 0)$ we have to prove that the derivative $(D\Phi)(z_0, 0) : T_{z_0}\Sigma \times \mathcal{P} \rightarrow T_{z_0}\Sigma \times \mathbb{C}$ is surjective. For this it is enough to prove that the partial derivative $(D_p f)(z_0, 0) : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is surjective.

Let us assume that the image of $(D_p f)(z_0, 0)$ is either 0 or 1 dimensional. In either case its image lies in a line in $\mathbb{R}^2$, that is, there exists a nonzero complex number a_0 such that

$$\operatorname{Re}(\overline{a_0} (D_p f)(z_0, 0)p) = 0$$

for every $p \in \mathcal{P}$. We may assume, without loss of generality, that $a_0 = i$.

Let $h_j(z) = (D_p f)(z, 0)e_j$, where e_j ($j = 1, \dots, M$) is a basis of the space $\mathcal{P}$. Then $h_j(z_0) \in \mathbb{R}$ for each j and hence there is another basis $\tilde{e}_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, M$) of the space $\mathcal{P}$ such that for $\tilde{h}_j(z) = (D_p f)(z, 0)\tilde{e}_j$ we have $\tilde{h}_j(z_0) = 0$ for every $j = 1, \dots, M - 1$.

We know that every $(D_p f)(z, 0)p$ is a solution of the homogeneous Riemann-Hilbert problem

$$\operatorname{Re}(\overline{a(z)} (D_p f)(z, 0)p) = 0 \quad (z \in \partial\Sigma).$$

Hence

$$\operatorname{Re}(\overline{a(z)} \tilde{h}_j(z)) = 0$$

for every $z \in \partial\Sigma$ and $j = 1, \dots, M$. Let g be a function from $A^{k,\alpha}(\Sigma)$ such that it has the only simple zero on $\bar{\Sigma}$ at the point z_0 . Thus $\tilde{h}_j(z) = g(z)g_j(z)$ for some $g_j \in A^{k,\alpha}(\Sigma)$ and $j = 1, \dots, M - 1$. Also, since $\tilde{h}_j$, $j = 1, \dots, M - 1$, are linearly independent, so are the functions g_j , $j = 1, \dots, M - 1$. Therefore

$$\operatorname{Re}(\overline{a(z)} g(z)g_j(z)) = 0$$

for every $z \in \partial\Sigma$ and $j = 1, \dots, M - 1$. Let us define

$$b(z) = a(z) \overline{g(z)}$$

for $z \in \partial\Sigma$. Then b is a nonzero function on $\partial\Sigma$ of class C^k and its winding number on $\partial\Sigma$ is

$$W(b) = W(a) - W(g) = W(a) - 1 = N - 1.$$

Hence $W(b) \geq 2g + m - 1$ and the space of solutions of the linear homogeneous Riemann-Hilbert problem $\operatorname{Re}(\overline{b(z)}h(z)) = 0$ is $2(N - 1) - (2g + m - 2) = M - 2$ dimensional, [22]. Therefore the functions g_j , $j = 1, \dots, M - 1$ are linearly dependent, which is a contradiction. $\square$

Remark 2.2. The above proof shows that in the case the minimal number of zeros of a solution of the Riemann-Hilbert problem on Σ is $2g + m - 1$, then the set of solutions with the minimal number of zeros is a closed submanifold in the space $A^{k,\alpha}(\Sigma)$ of dimension $2g + m$. See also Example 3.2.

The idea of the proof of the main theorem is the observation by Begehr and Efendiev, [3], that one can use solutions of the Riemann-Hilbert problem on the disc to get a very good approximate solutions of the original Riemann-Hilbert problem. Namely, for each boundary component Γ of Σ one can get a holomorphic function on its neighbourhood in Σ (an annulus) such that this function solves the Riemann-Hilbert problem on Γ and it is uniformly close to 0 away from Γ . All these functions are then extended to Σ so that their $\bar{\partial}$ derivatives are very small. Using solutions of the $\bar{\partial}$ equation on Σ we get a holomorphic function on Σ whose boundary values almost solve the original Riemann-Hilbert problem. Finally we use the following theorem from [3] to complete the proof.

Theorem 2.3 (Begehr-Efendiev). *Let X and Y be Banach spaces. Let $A : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous mapping, Fréchet differentiable in a neighbourhood of $x_0 \in X$. Assume that $(DA)(x_0)$ has a bounded right inverse $B(x_0)$ with the norm $\omega_1 > 0$. Also, let $\omega_2 > 0$ be such that the derivative $(DA)(x)$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition*

$$\|(DA)(x_1) - (DA)(x_2)\| < \omega_2 \|x_1 - x_2\|$$

for x_1, x_2 in a neighbourhood of x_0 . Finally, let $\omega_3 > 0$ be such that

$$4\omega_1(\omega_1 + 1)(\omega_2 + 1)\omega_3 < 1.$$

Then for every $y \in Y$ such that $\|A(x_0) - y\| < \omega_3$ there exists a solution of the equation $A(x) = y$.

Proof. (Theorem 1.1) Let $\rho \in C^{k+1}(\partial\Sigma \times \mathbb{C})$ be a defining function for $\{\gamma_z\}_{z \in \partial\Sigma}$ and let $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_m$ denote the boundary components of Σ . Let $A_1, \dots, A_m$ be pairwise disjoint annuli in Σ with real analytic boundaries such that $\Gamma_j \subset \partial A_j$ is one boundary component of A_j ($j = 1, \dots, m$). For $j = 1, \dots, m$ there exists $0 < r_j < 1$ and an up to the boundary real analytic biholomorphism

$$\Phi_j : (\Delta(0, 1) \setminus \overline{\Delta(0, r_j)}, \partial\Delta) \longrightarrow (A_j, \Gamma_j).$$

Now we can solve the Riemann-Hilbert problem for $\Delta(0, 1)$ and $\{\gamma_{\Phi_j(z)}\}_{z \in \partial\Delta(0, 1)}$ as the boundary data, [16]. Even more, the proof in [16] (see Lemma 4.7 in the Appendix) implies that there exists an integer l such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any compact subset $\overline{\Delta(0, q)} \subseteq \Delta(0, 1)$ ($0 < q < 1$) there exists a solution $\tilde{f}_{nj}$ of the Riemann-Hilbert problem on Δ with the winding number $W(\tilde{f}_{nj}) = n$ and such that

$$\|\tilde{f}_{nj}\|_{A^{1,\alpha}(\Delta(0, 1))} \leq Cn^l \quad \text{and} \quad \|\tilde{f}_{nj}\|_{A^{1,\alpha}(\Delta(0, q))} \leq Cn^l q^{n-2}$$

for some finite positive constant C which does not depend on n and j .

Let $\max\{r_1, \dots, r_m\} < q < 1$ and let $K_j = \Phi_j(\overline{\Delta(0, q)} \setminus \Delta(0, r_j))$ be a compact subset of $\overline{A_j} \setminus \Gamma_j$, ($j = 1, \dots, m$). Then there exists a sequence of holomorphic functions $f_{nj} = \widetilde{f_{nj}} \circ \Phi_j^{-1}$ on A_j such that $f_{nj}(z) \in \gamma_z$ for each $z \in \Gamma_j$, the winding number of f_{nj} along Γ_j is n , and

$$(1) \quad \|f_{nj}\|_{A^{1,\alpha}(A_j)} \leq Cn^l \quad \text{and} \quad \|f_{nj}\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(K_j)} \leq Cn^l q^n$$

for some constant $C < \infty$ which does not depend on n and j .

Let χ be a smooth function on $\overline{\Sigma}$, $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$, such that $\chi = 1$ on a neighbourhood of $\cup_{j=1}^m (\overline{A_j} \setminus K_j)$ and such that the support of χ is contained in $\cup_{j=1}^m (A_j \cup \Gamma_j)$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define $f_n : \overline{\Sigma} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as

$$f_n = \sum_{j=1}^m \chi f_{nj}.$$

Then

$$\|f_n\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\Sigma)} \leq Cn^l \quad \text{and} \quad \|\overline{\partial} f_n\|_{C^\alpha(\Sigma)} \leq Cn^l q^n.$$

Therefore there exists a solution u_n on Σ of the equation $\overline{\partial} u_n = \overline{\partial} f_n$ such that $\|u_n\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\Sigma)} \leq Cn^l q^n$ for some universal constant C , [22, 32]. Hence for the functions $h_n = f_n - u_n \in A^{1,\alpha}(\Sigma)$ we have

$$\|\rho(z, h_n(z))\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Sigma)} \leq Cn^{3l} q^n.$$

See Lemma 4.10 in the Appendix.

We want to use Theorem 2.3. Let us observe the twice differentiable map $\Psi : A^{1,\alpha}(\Sigma) \rightarrow C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Sigma)$ defined as $(\Psi(f))(z) = \rho(z, f(z))$. The arguments above show that the initial error for Ψ at the point h_n is $\omega_3 = Cn^{3l} q^n$. Now we have to find an estimate of the norm of a right inverse of the derivative of Ψ at the points h_n and an estimate on the derivative's Lipschitz constant near h_n .

The derivative of Ψ at h_n is of the form

$$(\Psi_n(h))(z) = 2\operatorname{Re}((\partial_w \rho)(z, h_n(z))h(z)).$$

We define

$$(\widetilde{\Psi}_n(h))(z) = 2\operatorname{Re}((\partial_w \rho)(z, f_n(z))h(z))$$

a linear mapping from $A^{1,\alpha}(\Sigma)$ into $C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Sigma)$. Then from Lemma 4.4 we get

$$\|\widetilde{\Psi}_n\| \leq 2\|(\partial_w \rho)(z, f_n(z))\|_{1,\alpha} \leq C(\|f_n\|_{1,\alpha}^2 + 1) \leq Cn^{2l}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi_n - \widetilde{\Psi}_n\| &\leq 2\|(\partial_w \rho)(z, h_n(z)) - (\partial_w \rho)(z, f_n(z))\|_{1,\alpha} \leq \\ &\leq C(\|f_n\|_{1,\alpha}^2 + \|h_n - f_n\|_{1,\alpha}^2 + 1)\|h_n - f_n\|_{1,\alpha} \leq Cn^{3l} q^n \end{aligned}$$

as in the proof of Lemma 4.10 in the Appendix. Hence, if we can find a right inverse $\widetilde{B}_n$ of $\widetilde{\Psi}_n$ such that $\|\widetilde{B}_n\| \leq Cn^{l_1}$ for some fixed $l_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C > 0$, then

$$B_n = \widetilde{B}_n (I + (\Psi_n - \widetilde{\Psi}_n)\widetilde{B}_n)^{-1}$$

is a right inverse of Ψ_n such that $\|B_n\| \leq Cn^{l_1}$ for n large enough.

Let $g \in C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Sigma)$. Using solutions of the linear Riemann-Hilbert problem on the disc, Lemma 4.9, we find, similarly as in (1), that there exist $l_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and a finite constant C such that for each boundary component $\Gamma_j \subseteq \partial\Sigma$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, there is a holomorphic function $H_{nj}(g)$ on A_j with the properties

$$\|H_{nj}(g)\|_{A^{1,\alpha}(A_j)} \leq Cn^{l_1} \|g\|_{1,\alpha}, \quad \|H_{nj}(g)\|_{A^{1,\alpha}(K_j)} \leq Cn^{l_1} q^n \|g\|_{1,\alpha}$$

and $2\operatorname{Re}((\partial_w \rho)(z, f_n(z)) H_{nj}(g)) = g$ on Γ_j . The construction of the functions $H_{nj}(g)$ is linear in g , Lemma 4.9.

We define $H_n(g) = \sum_{j=1}^m \chi H_{nj}(g)$ and observe that

$$\|H_n(g)\|_{1,\alpha} \leq C n^{l_1} \|g\|_{1,\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\bar{\partial} H_n(g)\|_{\alpha} \leq C n^{l_1} q^n \|g\|_{1,\alpha}.$$

Let $T_0 : C^\alpha(\bar{\Sigma}) \rightarrow C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Sigma})$ be an operator which gives a solution of the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation $\bar{\partial} T_0 u = u$ such that $\|T_0 u\|_{1,\alpha} \leq C \|u\|_{\alpha}$ for some positive constant C and every $u \in C^\alpha(\bar{\Sigma})$, [22, 32]. Let us define

$$\widehat{B}_n g = H_n(g) - T_0(\bar{\partial} H_n(g)).$$

Then $\widehat{B}_n$ is a linear operator from $C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Sigma)$ into $A^{1,\alpha}(\Sigma)$ with the norm

$$\|\widehat{B}_n\| \leq C n^{l_1}$$

and

$$\widetilde{\Psi}_n \widehat{B}_n g = g - \widetilde{\Psi}_n T_0(\bar{\partial} H_n(g)) = g - E_n(g)$$

where $\|E_n\| \leq C n^{2l+l_1} q^n$ (the operator $\widetilde{\Psi}_n$ can be naturally extended to the space $C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Sigma})$ with the same norm estimate). Hence $\widehat{B}_n = \widetilde{B}_n(I - E_n)^{-1}$ is a left inverse of $\widetilde{\Psi}_n$ such that its norm is bounded by $C n^{l_1}$ for some universal constant C .

At the end we estimate the Lipschitz constant for the derivative $D\Psi$ of the mapping Ψ near the point h_n . Here we need that ρ is at least of class C^4 . Let f_0 and f_1 be two functions such that $\|f_0 - h_n\|_{1,\alpha} < 1$ and $\|f_1 - h_n\|_{1,\alpha} < 1$. Then, as in the proof of Lemma 4.10, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|D\Psi(f_1) - D\Psi(f_0)\| &\leq 2\|(\partial_w \rho)(z, f_1(z)) - (\partial_w \rho)(z, f_0(z))\|_{1,\alpha} \leq \\ &\leq 2\|f_1 - f_0\|_{1,\alpha} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \|(D^2 \rho)(z, t f_1 + (1-t)f_2)\|_{1,\alpha} \leq \\ &\leq C(\|h_n\|_{1,\alpha}^2 + 1) \|f_1 - f_0\|_{1,\alpha} \leq C n^{2l} \|f_1 - f_0\|_{1,\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have $\omega_1 = C n^{l_1}$, $\omega_2 = C n^{2l}$, $\omega_3 = C n^{3l} q^n$ and for n large enough the product $4\omega_1(\omega_1 + 1)(\omega_2 + 1)\omega_3$ is arbitrarily small. Therefore by Theorem 2.3 there exists a solution $f \in A^{1,\alpha}(\Sigma)$ of the Riemann-Hilbert problem and by [4] we have $f \in A^{k,\alpha}(\Sigma)$. $\square$

An immediate corollary of Theorem 1.1 is

Corollary 2.4. *Let F be a continuous function on $\bar{\Sigma}$ which is holomorphic on Σ . Let $\{\gamma_z\}_{z \in \partial\Sigma}$ be a C^{k+1} ($k \geq 3$) family of Jordan curves in $\mathbb{C}$ such that for each $z \in \partial\Sigma$ the point $F(z)$ lies in the interior of γ_z . Then there exists a holomorphic function $f \in A^{k,\alpha}(\Sigma)$ such that $f(z) \in \gamma_z$ for every $z \in \partial\Sigma$.*

Now we give the proofs of corollaries stated in introduction.

Proof. (Corollary 1.2) Let $g \in A^{k+1}(\Sigma)$ be a holomorphic function such that $(g) = \mathcal{D}$ and $g(z) \neq 0$ for every $z \in \partial\Sigma$. We define a new C^{k+1} family of Jordan curves in $\mathbb{C}$ enclosing the point 0 by

$$\tilde{\gamma}_z = \frac{1}{g(z)} \gamma_z \quad (z \in \partial\Sigma).$$

Then there exists a function $\tilde{f} \in A^{k,\alpha}(\Sigma)$ such that $\tilde{f}(z) \in \tilde{\gamma}_z$ for every $z \in \partial\Sigma$ and such that $\tilde{f}$ has at most $2g + m - 1$ zeros on Σ . Hence $f(z) = g(z)\tilde{f}(z)$ is the required function. $\square$

Proof. (Corollary 1.3) Without loss of generality we may assume that $a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}$ are polynomials and that V is an embedded bordered Riemann surface $\overline{\Sigma}$ in $\overline{\Delta} \times \mathbb{C}$. If not, we can uniformly on $\overline{\Delta}$ approximate functions a_j ($j = 0, \dots, n-1$) with polynomials (which we still denote by a_j) and replace V by the solution of the equation

$$w^n + a_{n-1}(z)w^{n-1} + \dots + a_0(z) = a,$$

where a is in absolute value small regular value of the function $P(z, w) = w^n + a_{n-1}(z)w^{n-1} + \dots + a_0(z)$. Let

$$\Phi = (f, g) : \Sigma \longrightarrow V \cap (\Delta \times \mathbb{C})$$

be a biholomorphism which is smooth up to the boundary. For each $p \in \partial\Sigma$ let

$$\tilde{\gamma}_p = \gamma_{\Phi(p)} - g(p).$$

Then $\{\tilde{\gamma}_p\}_{p \in \partial\Sigma}$ is a C^{k+1} family of Jordan curves in $\mathbb{C}$ such that $\tilde{\gamma}_p$ contains the point 0 in its interior. Hence there is a holomorphic function $h \in A^{k,\alpha}(\Sigma)$ such that $h(p) \in \tilde{\gamma}_p$ for every $p \in \partial\Sigma$. We define V_0 as the image of the proper mapping $p \mapsto (f(p), g(p) + h(p))$ from $\overline{\Sigma}$ into $\overline{\Delta} \times \mathbb{C}$. $\square$

Proof. (Corollary 1.4) Without loss of generality we may assume $n = 2$ and that $\overline{D} \times \{0\} \subseteq \Omega$. Then $\{z\} \times \mathbb{C}$ intersects $\partial\Omega$ transversally for every $z \in \partial D$ and hence $\gamma_z = (\{z\} \times \mathbb{C}) \cap \partial\Omega$, $z \in \partial D$, is a smooth family of smooth Jordan curves in $\mathbb{C}$ which all contain 0 in their interior. By Theorem 1.1 there exists a holomorphic function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ smooth up to the boundary such that $f(z) \in \gamma_z$ for each $z \in \partial D$. The mapping $z \mapsto (z, f(z))$ gives a holomorphic embedding of D into Ω . $\square$

3. EXAMPLE

The starting point for our next observation was the simplest nontrivial possible case one can try to solve directly by computations: γ_z are circles centered at 0. Our computations lead to the following result which is a kind of an inversion problem result for planar domains, e.g. [30, p.281].

Let D be a bounded finitely connected planar domain with smooth boundary. Let $\Gamma_0, \dots, \Gamma_m$ be its boundary components, where Γ_0 is the boundary of the unbounded component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus D$. Let $h_1, \dots, h_m$ be harmonic functions on D smooth up to the boundary such that $h_j = 1$ on Γ_j and $h_j = 0$ on other boundary components. We denote by $\overline{D}^m$ the product of m copies of $\overline{D}$ and by T^m the m torus $S^1 \times \dots \times S^1$ (m factors), where $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 3.1. *The mapping $\Phi : \overline{D}^m \longrightarrow T^m$ defined by*

$$\Phi(z_1, \dots, z_m) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^m h_1(z_j), \dots, \sum_{j=1}^m h_m(z_j) \right) \bmod \mathbb{Z}$$

is surjective.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$D = \Delta \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^m \Delta(a_j, r_j)$$

where $|a_j| + r_j < 1$ for every $j = 1, \dots, m$ and $|a_j - a_t| > r_j + r_t$ for every $j \neq t$. Let $R(z)$ be any C^{k+1} ($k \geq 3$) positive function on ∂D . We know that there is $f \in A^{k,\alpha}(D)$ such that $|f(z)| = R(z)$ for every $z \in \partial D$ and such that it has at most

m zeros on D . Let $z_1, \dots, z_M$ ($M \leq m$) be the zeros of f and let k_j ($j = 1, \dots, m$) be the winding number of f along $\Gamma_j = \partial\Delta(a_j, r_j)$ oriented coherently to $\Delta(a_j, r_j)$. Then f is of the form

$$f(z) = (z - z_1) \dots (z - z_M)(z - a_1)^{k_1} \dots (z - a_m)^{k_m} e^{F(z)}$$

for some $F \in A^{k, \alpha}(D)$.

Let u_R be the harmonic function on D such that $u_R = \log R$ on ∂D and let u_j ($j = 1, \dots, M$) be the harmonic function on D such that $u_j(z) = -\log |z - z_j|$ on ∂D . Hence

$$\operatorname{Re}(F(z)) = u_R(z) + \sum_{j=1}^M u_j(z) - \sum_{j=1}^m k_j \log |z - a_j|$$

on $\overline{D}$.

Since F is holomorphic on D , for $u = \operatorname{Re}(F)$ we have

$$(2) \quad \int_{\Gamma_p} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}} ds = 0$$

for every $p = 1, \dots, m$. Here, $\Gamma_p = \partial\Delta(a_p, r_p)$ is oriented coherently to $\Delta(a_p, r_p)$ and $\mathbf{n}$ is the outer unit normal to $\partial\Delta(a_p, r_p)$. We know that

$$\int_{\Gamma_p} \frac{\partial \log |z - a_j|}{\partial \mathbf{n}} ds = \begin{cases} 2\pi & ; \quad p = j \\ 0 & ; \quad p \neq j \end{cases}.$$

Let $G(z, w)$ be the Green's function for D . Then we observe that

$$G(z, z_j) = \frac{1}{2\pi} (u_j(z) + \log |z - z_j|)$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma_p} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial \mathbf{n}} ds &= 2\pi \int_{\Gamma_p} \frac{\partial G(z, z_j)}{\partial \mathbf{n}} ds - \int_{\Gamma_p} \frac{\partial \log |z - z_j|}{\partial \mathbf{n}} ds = \\ &= 2\pi \int_{\Gamma_p} \frac{\partial G(z, z_j)}{\partial \mathbf{n}} ds = -2\pi h_p(z_j) \end{aligned}$$

for every $p = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, M$.

This calculation and (2) give

$$(3) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_p} \frac{\partial u_R}{\partial \mathbf{n}} ds = \sum_{j=1}^M h_p(z_j) + k_p$$

for every $p = 1, \dots, m$. Since R is an arbitrary positive C^{k+1} ($k \geq 3$) function, u_R is an arbitrary real C^{k+1} function on ∂D and the left hand sides of (3) are arbitrary real numbers. Indeed, let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ be real constants such that

$$\sum_{p=1}^m \lambda_p \int_{\Gamma_p} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}} ds = \int_{\partial D} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \left(\sum_{p=1}^m \lambda_p h_p \right) ds = 0$$

for all $C^{k+1}(D)$ functions u which are harmonic on D . Then we get by the Green's identity that

$$\int_{\partial D} u \left(\sum_{p=1}^m \lambda_p \frac{\partial h_p}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \right) ds = 0$$

for every such u (here $\mathbf{n}$ is the outer unit normal to ∂D). Therefore

$$\sum_{p=1}^m \lambda_p \frac{\partial h_p}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0$$

on ∂D and hence the harmonic function $h = \sum_{p=1}^m \lambda_p h_p$ is constant by the Hopf's lemma. Since $h = 0$ on Γ_0 we get that $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_m = 0$ and the left hand sides of (3) are arbitrary real numbers. Therefore we get surjectivity (in the case $M < m$, points $z_{M+1}, \dots, z_m$ are chosen from Γ_0). $\square$

Example 3.2. Complete computations can be carried over for an annulus $A(q, 1) = \Delta(0, 1) \setminus \overline{\Delta(0, q)}$. In this case

$$h_1(z) = \frac{\log(|z|)}{\log(q)}$$

and h_1 obviously takes all the values from the interval $[0, 1]$. Then the equation (3) is

$$(4) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{\partial u_R}{\partial \mathbf{n}} ds = \sum_{j=1}^M h_1(z_j) + k_1$$

and we can easily get a solution of the Riemann-Hilbert problem with either 1 (the left hand side of (4) is not an integer) or no zeros (the left hand side of (4) is an integer). Observe also, that there is always a solution of the Riemann-Hilbert problem with 2 zeros. Finally we observe that local 'pushing' of solutions of the Riemann-Hilbert problem using the implicit function theorem in general has to lead to a situation in which the index of the problem is such that no further direct use of the implicit function theorem is possible.

4. APPENDIX

In the appendix we give proofs of some technical results needed in our arguments.

Lemma 4.1. *Let T be a finite disjoint union of C^k ($k \geq 2$) maximal real tori in $\partial\Delta \times \mathbb{C}$. Then for each point $(z_0, w_0) \in (\partial\Delta \times \mathbb{C}) \setminus T$ there exists a polynomial P such that $P(z_0, w_0) = 0$ and that the intersection of its zero set $V = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2; P(z, w) = 0\}$ with $\partial\Delta \times \mathbb{C}$ is a smooth simple closed curve which does not intersect T . In particular T is a rationally convex subset of $\mathbb{C}^2$.*

Proof. If T is a maximal real torus in $\partial\Delta \times \mathbb{C}$ such that each fiber $T \cap (\{z\} \times \mathbb{C})$ has only one connected component, then for each point $(z_0, w_0) \in (\partial\Delta \times \mathbb{C}) \setminus T$ there exists a smooth closed curve $z \mapsto (z, w(z))$ (a mapping from $\partial\Delta$ into $\partial\Delta \times \mathbb{C}$) such that $(z, w(z)) \in (\partial\Delta \times \mathbb{C}) \setminus T$ for every $z \in \partial\Delta$ and $w(z_0) = w_0$. Approximating the smooth function $w(z)$ uniformly on $\partial\Delta$ by Fourier series we may assume

$$w(z) = \sum_{j=-N}^{j=N} a_j z^j = \frac{p(z)}{z^N}$$

for some holomorphic polynomial $p(z)$. We define $P(z, w) = z^N w - p(z)$. Similar argument works in the case $T = \cup_{j=1}^n T_j$ is a finite disjoint union of maximal real tori T_j in $\partial\Delta \times \mathbb{C}$ such that each component T_j has connected fibers.

Let now T be a maximal real torus in $\partial\Delta \times \mathbb{C}$ such that each fiber $T \cap (\{z\} \times \mathbb{C})$ has k connected components. Let $\Phi(z, w) = (z^k, w)$ be a proper polynomial map

from $\overline{\Delta} \times \mathbb{C}$ into itself. Then the preimage $\Phi^{-1}(T)$ is a disjoint union of k maximal real tori in $\partial\Delta \times \mathbb{C}$ such that each component of $\Phi^{-1}(T)$ has connected fibers.

Let $(z_0, w_0) \in (\partial\Delta \times \mathbb{C}) \setminus T$ and let $(z_1, w_1) \in \Phi^{-1}(z_0, w_0)$. Then $(z_1, w_1) \in (\partial\Delta \times \mathbb{C}) \setminus \Phi^{-1}(T)$ and we can find a polynomial $Q(z, w) = z^N w - q(z)$ such that its zero set V passes through (z_1, w_1) and it intersects $\partial\Delta \times \mathbb{C}$ in a smooth simple closed curve which does not intersect $\Phi^{-1}(T)$. The variety $\Phi(V)$ passes through the point (z_0, w_0) and is the zero set of a polynomial $P(z, w)$. Also, $\Phi(V)$ intersects $\partial\Delta \times \mathbb{C}$ in a smooth simple closed curve which does not intersect T . Similar argument works in the case $\Phi(z, w) = (z^{k^n}, w)$.

The general case can be treated similarly as the previous one. For each connected component T_0 of T let k_0 be the number of connected components in its fibers. We 'pull-back' the manifold T with the map $\Phi(z, w) = (z^k, w)$ where k is the product of the numbers k_0 . The rest is as in the previous paragraph. $\square$

From the work of Duval, [11], we get the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\{\gamma_z\}_{z \in \partial\Sigma}$ be a C^k ($k \geq 2$) family of Jordan curves in $\mathbb{C}$ which all contain the point 0 in their interior and let*

$$T = \bigcup_{z \in \partial\Sigma} (\{z\} \times \gamma_z)$$

be a disjoint union of totally real tori in $\partial\Sigma \times \mathbb{C}$. Then there exists a C^k strongly plurisubharmonic function v on $\overline{\Sigma} \times \mathbb{C}$ such that T is Lagrangian for the symplectic form $\omega = i\partial\bar{\partial}v$. In addition, we can arrange that the ω -area of any disc $\{z\} \times \hat{\gamma}_z$ is 1.

Remark 4.3. One may always consider $\overline{\Sigma}$ as a subset of some larger open Riemann surface Σ_1 . A function v is a C^k strongly plurisubharmonic function on $\overline{\Sigma} \times \mathbb{C}$ if it is such on an open neighbourhood of $\overline{\Sigma} \times \mathbb{C}$ in $\Sigma_1 \times \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Let $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \Delta$ be a nonconstant holomorphic function, smooth up to the boundary, such that $|f(z)| = 1$ for every $z \in \partial\Sigma$, [2], and let g be a holomorphic function on Σ , smooth up to the boundary, such that the mapping $z \mapsto (f(z), g(z))$ from $\overline{\Sigma}$ into $\overline{\Delta} \times \mathbb{C}$ is an immersion which is injective on $\partial\Sigma$, [10].

We observe the mapping $\Phi : \overline{\Sigma} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \overline{\Delta} \times \mathbb{C}$ defined as $\Phi(z, w) = (f(z), g(z) + w)$. We may assume that the mapping Φ is injective on T . If not, replace g with Rg , for some $R > 0$ large enough.

The totally real manifold $\Phi(T)$ is a subset of $\partial\Delta \times \mathbb{C}$ and it is rationally convex, Lemma 4.1. Using the result of Duval, [11], there exists a strongly plurisubharmonic function u on $\mathbb{C}^2$ such that $\Phi(T)$ is Lagrangian for the symplectic form $\Omega = i\partial\bar{\partial}u$. In a neighbourhood of $\Phi(T)$ function u can be defined as $u(z, w) = (|z|^2 - 1)^2 + \rho(z, w)^2$, where ρ is a defining function for $\Phi(T)$. In addition, we may assume that outside some large ball $u(z, w) = \lambda(|z|^2 + |w|^2)$ for some $\lambda > 0$.

From the Stokes' theorem it follows that for any connected component T_0 of $\Phi(T)$ is the Ω -area of any component of its fibers $T_0 \cap (\{z\} \times \mathbb{C})$, $z \in \partial\Delta$, a constant which depends only on T_0 . We may assume that all these constants, which could be different for different components T_0 , are smaller than 1 (multiply u with a suitable positive constant).

Let $P(z, w)$ be a polynomial such that the intersection of its zero set with $\partial\Delta \times \mathbb{C}$ is a smooth curve contained in the bounded component of $(\partial\Delta \times \mathbb{C}) \setminus T_0$ (Lemma 4.1). Let $a > 0$ be so small that the intersections of all level sets $P(z, w) = c$,

$|c| \leq a$, with $\partial\Delta \times \mathbb{C}$ are still contained in the bounded component of $(\partial\Delta \times \mathbb{C}) \setminus T_0$. Let φ be a smooth nonnegative function on $\mathbb{R}$ such that its support lies in $[-a^2, a^2]$. We observe the $(1, 1)$ nonnegative closed form

$$\nu = i\varphi(|P|^2) \partial P \wedge \overline{\partial P}$$

on $\mathbb{C}^2$ whose support is contained in a neighbourhood of the zero set of P . The pull-back of ν to $\{z_0\} \times \mathbb{C}$ is

$$i\varphi(|P(z_0, w)|^2) |P_w(z_0, w)|^2 dw \wedge \overline{dw}.$$

Since P is not identically equal to 0 on any vertical line $\{z\} \times \mathbb{C}$, $z \in \partial\Delta$, the derivative $P_w(z_0, w)$ can be zero only at finite number of points w . Therefore we can find a suitable function φ such that the $(\Omega + \nu)$ -area of any component of the fibers of T_0 is equal to 1. This procedure can be done independently for any connected component of $\Phi(T)$.

Since $\Omega + \nu$ is a positive $(1, 1)$ closed form on $\mathbb{C}^2$, there exists a strongly plurisubharmonic function u_1 such that $\Omega + \nu = i\partial\overline{\partial}u_1$. We may assume that outside some ball u_1 equals a positive multiple of $|z|^2 + |w|^2$. In addition, $\Phi(T)$ is a Lagrangian submanifold for $\Omega + \nu$ and the $(\Omega + \nu)$ -area of any component of its fibers is 1.

Let $\mathcal{C} = \{z_1, \dots, z_k\}$ be a finite set of points on Σ where $df = 0$. Then $v_1 = u_1 \circ \Phi$ is a strongly plurisubharmonic function on $(\overline{\Sigma} \setminus \mathcal{C}) \times \mathbb{C}$ and $v_{1w\overline{w}} > 0$ on $\overline{\Sigma} \times \mathbb{C}$. Let χ be a smooth nonnegative function on $\overline{\Sigma}$ whose support is contained in some small neighbourhood of the set $\mathcal{C}$ and which equals 1 in some smaller neighbourhood of $\mathcal{C}$. Finally we define $v = v_1 + \varepsilon\chi|g|^2$ and function v has all the required properties if ε is chosen small enough. $\square$

Now we give the necessary apriori estimates for approximate solutions of the Riemann-Hilbert problem. We are working on Δ and with a C^{k+1} ($k \geq 3$) family of Jordan curves $\{\gamma_z\}_{z \in \partial\Delta}$ in $\mathbb{C}$ such that the point 0 is in the interior of each of them. In what follows C will denote a universal constant which depends only on the data. Also, we will use the fact that the Hilbert transform is a bounded linear operator on the Sobolev spaces $W^{k,p}(\partial\Delta)$, $1 < p < \infty$, and Hölder spaces $C^{k,\alpha}(\partial\Delta)$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let φ be a C^2 function on $\partial\Delta \times \mathbb{C}$ and let $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a uniformly bounded sequence of $C^{1,\alpha}$ functions on $\partial\Delta$:*

$$\|f_n\|_\infty \leq R < \infty.$$

Then there exists a constant C which depends on the C^2 norm of φ on $\partial\Delta \times \overline{\Delta}(0, R)$ such that

$$\|\varphi(z, f_n(z))\|_\alpha \leq C(\|f_n\|_\alpha + 1),$$

and

$$\|\varphi(z, f_n(z))\|_{1,\alpha} \leq C(\|f_n\|_{1,\alpha}^2 + 1).$$

Remark 4.5. For the first inequality it is enough to assume that φ is a C^1 function.

Proof. We denote $\varphi(\theta, w) := \varphi(e^{i\theta}, w)$ and $f_n(\theta) := f_n(e^{i\theta})$. Let M be the C^2 norm of φ on $\partial\Delta \times \overline{\Delta}(0, R)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & |\varphi(\theta, f_n(\theta)) - \varphi(\nu, f_n(\nu))| \leq \\ & \leq |\varphi(\theta, f_n(\theta)) - \varphi(\nu, f_n(\theta))| + |\varphi(\nu, f_n(\theta)) - \varphi(\nu, f_n(\nu))| \leq \\ & \leq M(|\theta - \nu| + |f_n(\theta) - f_n(\nu)|) \end{aligned}$$

by Lagrange theorem. So

$$\|\varphi(\theta, f_n(\theta))\|_\alpha \leq C(\|f_n\|_\alpha + 1).$$

Using this inequality for partial derivatives $\partial_\theta \rho$ and $D_w \rho$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi(\theta, f_n(\theta))\|_{1,\alpha} &= \|\varphi(\theta, f_n(\theta))\|_\infty + \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\varphi(\theta, f_n(\theta))) \right\|_\alpha \leq \\ &\leq M + \|(\partial_\theta \varphi)(\theta, f_n(\theta))\|_\alpha + \|(D_w \varphi)(\theta, f_n(\theta))\|_\alpha \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_n(\theta) \right\|_\alpha \leq \\ &\leq M + C(\|f_n\|_\alpha + 1) + C(\|f_n\|_\alpha + 1) \|f_n\|_{1,\alpha} \leq C(\|f_n\|_{1,\alpha}^2 + 1). \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 4.6. In general one can get an estimate

$$\|\varphi(\theta, f_n(\theta))\|_{k,\alpha} \leq C(\|f_n\|_{k,\alpha}^{k+1} + 1).$$

In the next lemma we closely analyze the proof of Forstnerič in [16].

Lemma 4.7. *There exist a finite positive constant C and a positive integer l such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < q < 1$ there is a solution f_n of the Riemann-Hilbert problem on the disc with the winding number n and such that*

$$\|f_n\|_{A^{1,\alpha}(\Delta(0,1))} \leq Cn^l \quad \text{and} \quad \|f_n\|_{A^{1,\alpha}(\Delta(0,q))} \leq Cn^l q^{n-2}.$$

Proof. Let $\rho(e^{i\theta}, w) = \rho(\theta, w)$ be a C^{k+1} defining function for $\{\gamma_z\}_{z \in \partial \Delta}$. We define

$$\rho_n(\theta, w) = \rho(\theta, e^{in\theta} w)$$

and

$$\gamma_z^n = \{w \in \mathbb{C}; \rho_n(\theta, w) = 0\} = \frac{1}{z^n} \gamma_z$$

where $z = e^{i\theta}$. Then there exist universal constants $0 < r < R < \infty$ such that $\Delta(0, r) \subseteq \gamma_z^n \subseteq \Delta(0, R)$.

Let $\nu(\theta, w) = \overline{(\partial_w \rho)}(\theta, w)$ be the normal to γ_z ($z = e^{i\theta}$) at the point w and $\eta(\theta, w) = w\nu(\theta, w)$. Similarly we define $\nu_n(\theta, w)$ and $\eta_n(\theta, w)$ for ρ_n . Then

$$\nu_n(\theta, w) = \nu(\theta, e^{in\theta} w) e^{-in\theta} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_n(\theta, w) = \eta(\theta, e^{in\theta} w).$$

Geometric assumptions on $\{\gamma_z\}_{z \in \partial \Delta}$ imply that there exist well defined real C^{k+1} functions a and b on $T = \cup_{z \in \partial \Delta} \{z\} \times \gamma_z$ such that

$$\eta(\theta, w) = e^{a(\theta, w) + ib(\theta, w)}.$$

Hence

$$\eta_n(\theta, w) = e^{a_n(\theta, w) + ib_n(\theta, w)},$$

where $a_n(\theta, w) = a(\theta, e^{in\theta} w)$ and $b_n(\theta, w) = b(\theta, e^{in\theta} w)$.

Let $h_n = e^{g_n}$ be a solution of the Riemann-Hilbert problem for T_n with no zeros on Δ . We know, [4], that h_n is of class $\mathbb{C}^{k,\alpha}$ on $\overline{\Delta}$ for each $0 < \alpha < 1$. We have the following immediate apriori uniform estimates:

$$\|h_n\|_\Delta \leq R, \quad \|a_n\|_{T_n} \leq \|a\|_T, \quad \|b_n\|_{T_n} \leq \|b\|_T.$$

Also,

$$(5) \quad (\partial_\theta \rho_n)(\theta, w) = (\partial_\theta \rho)(\theta, e^{in\theta} w) + 2\operatorname{Re}(\overline{\nu_n(\theta, w)}) in w$$

and so

$$\|(\partial_\theta \rho_n)(\theta, h_n(\theta))\|_{\partial \Delta} \leq \|\rho\|_{C^1(T)} + 2nR\|\rho\|_{C^1(T)} \leq Cn$$

for some universal constant C . Here we used the notation $h_n(\theta) = h_n(e^{i\theta})$.

We differentiate the equation

$$\rho_n(\theta, h_n(\theta)) = 0$$

with respect to θ to get

$$(\partial_\theta \rho_n)(\theta, h_n(\theta)) + 2\operatorname{Re}(\overline{\nu_n(\theta, h_n(\theta))}) \frac{\partial h_n}{\partial \theta}(\theta) = 0$$

and so

$$(6) \quad \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\partial g_n}{\partial \theta}(\theta) e^{-\tilde{b}_n(\theta) + ib_n(\theta, h_n(\theta))}\right) = -e^{\tilde{b}_n(\theta)} e^{-a_n(\theta, h_n(\theta))} (\partial_\theta \rho_n)(\theta, h_n(\theta)),$$

where $\tilde{b}_n(\theta)$ is the Hilbert transform of $b_n(\theta, h_n(\theta))$ with the value 0 at 0.

Let $2 < p < \infty$. As it is proved in [16] on pages 881-882 we can write $b = \operatorname{Re}(q) + b'$ so that $p \|b'\|_T < \frac{\pi}{2}$ and q is a function of the form

$$q(\theta, z) = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq k_0} \sum_{-j_0 \leq j \leq j_0} c_{jk} e^{ij\theta} z^k.$$

Then by a classical estimate [17, p.114] we have

$$\|e^{\pm \tilde{b}'}\|_p \leq C(p)$$

for some universal constant $C(p)$ which depends only on p . Also, the operator R_q which assigns to each $f \in C(\partial\Delta)$ the harmonic conjugate of $\operatorname{Re}(q(\theta, f(e^{i\theta})))$ is a bounded nonlinear operator from $A(\partial\Delta)$ into $C(\partial\Delta)$.

Thus we have the estimate $p \|b'_n\|_{T_n} < \frac{\pi}{2}$ for $b'_n(\theta, w) = b'(\theta, e^{in\theta} w)$, and hence

$$\|e^{\pm \tilde{b}'_n}\|_p \leq C(p).$$

We still have to estimate $R_q(z^n h_n(z))$. Since the family of holomorphic functions $z^n h_n(z)$ is uniformly bounded and since the operator R_q is a bounded operator from $A(\partial\Delta)$ into $C(\partial\Delta)$ we get a uniform bound on the harmonic conjugates of $\operatorname{Re}(q(\theta, e^{in\theta} h_n(e^{i\theta})))$. Therefore

$$\|e^{\pm \tilde{b}_n}\|_p = \|e^{\pm R_q(z^n h_n(z))} e^{\pm \tilde{b}_n}\|_p \leq C(p).$$

Now we can estimate the righthand side of (6) in L^p norm

$$\|e^{\tilde{b}_n(\theta)} e^{-a_n(\theta, h_n(\theta))} (\partial_\theta \rho_n)(\theta, h_n(\theta))\|_p \leq C(p) n$$

and, since the Hilbert transform is bounded in L^p spaces ($1 < p < \infty$), we get

$$\left\| \frac{\partial g_n}{\partial \theta}(\theta) e^{-\tilde{b}_n(\theta) + ib_n(\theta, h_n(\theta))} \right\|_p \leq C(p) n.$$

Also, since

$$\frac{\partial h_n}{\partial \theta} = h_n \frac{\partial g_n}{\partial \theta} = h_n e^{\tilde{b}_n - ib_n} \frac{\partial g_n}{\partial \theta} e^{-\tilde{b}_n + ib_n}$$

we get

$$\left\| \frac{\partial h_n}{\partial \theta} \right\|_{\frac{p}{2}} \leq C(p) n$$

and so

$$\|h_n\|_{1, \frac{p}{2}} \leq C(p) n$$

for every n . Using the embedding of the space $W^{1, \frac{p}{2}}(\partial\Delta)$ into the space $C^\alpha(\partial\Delta)$ for $\alpha = 1 - \frac{2}{p} \in (0, 1)$ we get

$$\|h_n\|_\alpha \leq C(\alpha) n.$$

Now we estimate the derivatives

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (e^{\pm \tilde{b}_n}) \right\|_{\frac{p}{2}} &= \| e^{\pm \tilde{b}_n} \frac{\partial \tilde{b}_n}{\partial \theta} \|_{\frac{p}{2}} \leq \| e^{\pm \tilde{b}_n} \|_p \left\| \frac{\partial \tilde{b}_n}{\partial \theta} \right\|_p \leq \\ &\leq C(p) \|\tilde{b}_n\|_{1,p} \leq C(p) \|b_n\|_{1,p}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (b_n(\theta, h_n(\theta)))$ equals

$$(\partial_\theta b)(\theta, e^{in\theta} h_n(\theta)) + 2\operatorname{Re}((\partial_w b)(\theta, e^{in\theta} h_n(\theta)) (e^{in\theta} \frac{\partial h_n}{\partial \theta}(\theta) + in e^{in\theta} h_n(\theta)))$$

we get

$$\|b_n\|_{1,p} \leq C(p) n$$

and so $\|b_n\|_\alpha \leq C(\alpha) n$ and

$$\|e^{\pm \tilde{b}_n}\|_{1,\frac{p}{2}} \leq C(p) n \quad \text{and} \quad \|e^{\pm \tilde{b}_n}\|_\alpha \leq C(\alpha) n \quad (\alpha = 1 - \frac{2}{p}).$$

Now we can work by induction. From (6) we get

$$\left\| \frac{\partial g_n}{\partial \theta}(\theta) e^{-\tilde{b}_n(\theta) + ib_n(\theta, h_n(\theta))} \right\|_\alpha \leq \|e^{-\tilde{b}_n}\|_\alpha \|e^{-a_n}\|_\alpha \|(\partial_\theta \rho_n)(\theta, h_n(\theta))\|_\alpha$$

and hence, using (5) and Lemma 4.4,

$$\left\| \frac{\partial g_n}{\partial \theta}(\theta) e^{-\tilde{b}_n(\theta) + ib_n(\theta, h_n(\theta))} \right\|_\alpha \leq C n \|e^{in\theta} h_n(\theta)\|_\alpha (n \|e^{in\theta} h_n(\theta)\|_\alpha) \leq C n^6.$$

Since

$$\left\| \frac{\partial h_n}{\partial \theta} \right\|_\alpha \leq \|h_n\|_\alpha \|e^{\tilde{b}_n - ib_n}\|_\alpha \left\| \frac{\partial g_n}{\partial \theta} e^{-\tilde{b}_n + ib_n} \right\|_\alpha,$$

we get

$$\|h_n\|_{1,\alpha} \leq C n^9.$$

Finally we define $f_n(z) = z^n h_n(z)$. Then

$$\|f_n\|_{A^{1,\alpha}(\Delta(0,1))} \leq C n^{11} \quad \text{and} \quad \|f_n\|_{A^{1,\alpha}(\Delta(0,q))} \leq C n^{11} q^{n-2}$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < q < 1$. □

Remark 4.8. It can be shown by induction that for each fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$ the norms of $\|h_n\|_{k,\alpha}$ increase as some fixed power of n .

Lemma 4.9. *There exist constants $C > 0$ and $l_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the linear operator $\widetilde{\Psi}_n : A^{1,\alpha}(\Delta) \rightarrow C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Delta)$ defined as*

$$(\widetilde{\Psi}_n h)(z) = 2\operatorname{Re}((\partial_w \rho)(z, f_n(z)) h(z)).$$

has a bounded right inverse $\widetilde{B}_n : C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Delta) \rightarrow A^{1,\alpha}(\Delta)$ with the norm $\|\widetilde{B}_n\| \leq C n^{l_1}$ and such that

$$\|\widetilde{B}_n g\|_{A^{1,\alpha}(\Delta(0,q))} \leq C n^{l_1} q^{n-2} \|g\|_{1,\alpha}$$

for every $g \in C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Delta)$.

Proof. Let $g \in C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Delta)$. We define

$$\widetilde{B}_n g = \frac{1}{2} f_n e^{(-i)(b_n + i\tilde{b}_n)} (e^{-a_n - \tilde{b}_n} g + i T(e^{-a_n - \tilde{b}_n} g))$$

where $T : C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Delta) \rightarrow C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Delta)$ is the Hilbert transform. From the estimates in the proof of the previous lemma and Lemma 4.4 we have

$$\|e^{-a_n}\|_{1,\alpha} \leq C (\|f_n\|_{1,\alpha}^2 + 1) \leq C n^{2l}, \quad \|e^{-ib_n}\|_{1,\alpha} \leq C (\|f_n\|_{1,\alpha}^2 + 1) \leq C n^{2l}$$

and

$$\|e^{\pm \widetilde{b}_n}\|_{1,\alpha} \leq \|e^{\pm \widetilde{b}_n}\|_{\partial\Delta} + \|e^{\pm \widetilde{b}_n} \frac{\partial \widetilde{b}_n}{\partial \theta}\|_{\alpha} \leq Cn + \|e^{\pm \widetilde{b}_n}\|_{\alpha} \|\widetilde{b}_n\|_{1,\alpha} \leq Cn^{2l+1},$$

where the fact that the Hilbert transform is bounded on $C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Delta)$ was used. Then $\widetilde{\Psi}_n \widetilde{B}_n = I$ and

$$\|\widetilde{B}_n g\| \leq C \|f_n\|_{1,\alpha} \|e^{-ib_n}\|_{1,\alpha} \|e^{\widetilde{b}_n}\|_{1,\alpha} \|e^{-a_n}\|_{1,\alpha} \|e^{-\widetilde{b}_n}\|_{1,\alpha} \|g\|_{1,\alpha}.$$

Hence $\|\widetilde{B}_n g\| \leq C n^{9l+2} \|g\|_{1,\alpha}$ and similarly

$$\|\widetilde{B}_n g\|_{A^{1,\alpha}(\Delta(0,q))} \leq C n^{9l+2} q^{n-2} \|g\|_{1,\alpha}.$$

□

With the notation of the proof of Theorem 1.1 we state and prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.10. *The estimate*

$$\|\rho(z, h_n(z))\|_{1,\alpha} \leq C n^{3l} q^n$$

holds for some universal finite constant C and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $\varphi(t) = \rho(z, th_n(z) + (1-t)f_n(z))$ be a mapping from the unit interval $[0, 1]$ into $C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Delta)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(z, h_n(z)) &= \rho(z, h_n(z)) - \rho(z, f_n(z)) = \varphi(1) - \varphi(0) = \\ &= \int_0^1 \varphi'(t) dt = \int_0^1 2\operatorname{Re}((\partial_w \rho)(z, th_n(z) + (1-t)f_n(z))(h_n(z) - f_n(z))) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|\rho(z, h_n(z))\|_{1,\alpha} \leq \int_0^1 2\|(\partial_w \rho)(z, th_n(z) + (1-t)f_n(z))\|_{1,\alpha} \|h_n - f_n\|_{1,\alpha} dt.$$

We observe that

$$\|h_n - f_n\|_{1,\alpha} \leq C n^l q^n$$

and from Lemma 4.4

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\partial_w \rho)(z, th_n(z) + (1-t)f_n(z))\|_{1,\alpha} &\leq C(\|th_n + (1-t)f_n\|_{1,\alpha}^2 + 1) \leq \\ &\leq C(\|f_n\|_{1,\alpha}^2 + \|h_n - f_n\|_{1,\alpha}^2 + 1) \leq Cn^{2l}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|\rho(z, h_n(z))\|_{1,\alpha} \leq C n^{3l} q^n.$$

□

Acknowledgement. I wish to thank Manolo Flores for stimulating discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] H.Alexander and J.Wermer, *Polynomial hulls with convex fibers*, Math. Ann. **266** (1981), 243–257.
- [2] L.Ahlfors, *Open Riemann surfaces and extremal problems on compact subregions*, Comment. Math. Helv. **24** (1950), 100–134.
- [3] H.Begehr and M.A.Efendiev, *On the asymptotics of meromorphic solutions for nonlinear Riemann-Hilbert problems*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **127** (1999), 159–172.
- [4] E.M.Čirka, *Regularity of boundaries of analytic sets*, (Russian) Math. Sb. (NS) **117** (1982), 291–334.
- [5] M.Černe, *Stationary discs of fibrations over the circle*, Int. J. Math **6** (1995), 805–823.
- [6] M.Černe, *Analytic varieties with boundaries in totally real tori*, Michigan Math. J. **45** (1998), 243–256.
- [7] M.Černe, *Analytic discs in the polynomial hull of a disc fibration over the sphere*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **62** (2000), 403–406.
- [8] M.Černe, *Maximal plurisubharmonic functions and the polynomial hull of a completely circled fibration.*, to appear in Arkiv för Matematik.
- [9] M.Černe and J.Globevnik, *On holomorphic embedding of planar domains into $\mathbb{C}^2$* , J. Anal. Math. **81** (2000), 269–282.
- [10] M.Černe and F.Forstnerič, *Embedding some bordered Riemann surfaces in $\mathbb{C}^2$* , preprint.
- [11] J.Duval, *Une contrainte géométrique pour certaines sous-variétés rationnellement convexes*, Math. Ann. **289** (1991), 627–629.
- [12] M.A.Efendiev and W.L.Wendland, *Nonlinear Riemann-Hilbert problems for multiply connected domains*, Nonlinear Anal. **27** (1996), 37–58.
- [13] M.A.Efendiev and W.L.Wendland, *Nonlinear Riemann-Hilbert problems without transversality*, Math. Nachr. **183** (1997), 73–89.
- [14] M.A.Efendiev and W.L.Wendland, *Nonlinear Riemann-Hilbert problems for doubly connected domains and closed boundary data*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **17** (2001), 111–124.
- [15] F.Forstnerič, *Analytic discs with boundaries in a maximal real submanifolds of $\mathbb{C}^2$* , Ann. Inst. Fourier **37** (1987), 1–44.
- [16] F.Forstnerič, *Polynomial hulls of sets fibered over the circle*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **37** (1988), 869–889.
- [17] J.B.Garnett, *Bounded analytic functions*, Academic Press, 1981.
- [18] J.Globevnik, *Perturbation by analytic discs along maximal real submanifold of $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Math. Z., **217** (1994), 287–316.
- [19] J.Globevnik, *Perturbing analytic discs attached to maximal real submanifolds of $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Indag. Mathem., **7** (1996), 37–46.
- [20] M.Gromov, *Pseudo-holomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds*, Invent. Math. **81** (1985), 307–347.
- [21] C.D.Hill-G.Taiani, *Families of analytic discs in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with boundaries on prescribed CR submanifold*, Ann. Scuola. Norm. Sup. Pisa **5** (1978), 327–380.
- [22] W.Koppelman, *The Riemann-Hilbert problem for finite Riemann surfaces*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **12** (1959), 13–35.
- [23] M.Lawrence, *Polynomial hull of tori fibered over the circle*, preprint.
- [24] M.Lawrence, *Polynomial hulls and bubbles*, preprint.
- [25] F.G.Maksudov, M.A.Efendiev, *The nonlinear Hilbert problem for a doubly connected domain* (Russian), Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **290** (1986), 789–791.
- [26] P.Pansu, *Compactness*, in M.Audin and J.Lafontaine, Editors, *Holomorphic curves in symplectic geometry*, Progress in Mathematics **117**, Birkhäuser, (1994), 233–249.
- [27] Z.Słodkowski, *Polynomial hulls with convex sections and interpolating spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **96** (1986), 255–260.
- [28] Z.Słodkowski, *Polynomial hulls with convex fibers and complex geodesics*, J. Func. Anal. **94** (1990), 156–176.
- [29] Z.Słodkowski, *Polynomial hulls in $\mathbb{C}^2$ and quasicircles*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa **16** (1989), 367–391.
- [30] G.Springer, *Introduction to Riemann surfaces*, Addison-Wesley, 1957.
- [31] A.I.Šnirelman, *The degree of a quasiruled mapping and a nonlinear Hilbert problem.* (Russian) Mat. Sb. **18** (1972), 373–396.

- [32] I.N.Vekua, *Generalized analytic functions* (Russian), Gosudarstv. Izdat. Fiz.-Mat. Lit., Moscow 1959.
- [33] R.Ye, *Gromov's compactness theorem for pseudo holomorphic curves*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **342** (1994), 671–694.
- [34] E.Wegert, *Nonlinear boundary value problems for holomorphic functions and singular integral equations*, Mathematical Research **65**, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [35] M.A.Whittlesey, *Polynomial hulls with disk fibers over the ball in $\mathbb{C}^2$* , Michigan Math. J. **44** (1997), 475–494.
- [36] M.A.Whittlesey, *Riemann surfaces in fibered polynomial hulls*, Ark. Mat. **37** (1999), 409–423.
- [37] M.A.Whittlesey, *Polynomial hulls and H^∞ control for a hypoconvex constraint*, Math. Ann. **317** (2000), 677–701.
- [38] M.A.Whittlesey, *Polynomial hulls, an optimization problem and the Kobayashi metric in a hypoconvex domain*, preprint.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA, JADRANSKA 19, 1111 LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIA

E-mail address: `miran.cerne@fmf.uni-lj.si`